1	Adrianos Facchetti (SBN. 243213)	~	
2	Of Counsel for TRAVERSE LEGAL, PLC		
3	301 East Colorado Boulevard, Suite 514		
3	Pasadena, CA 91101		
4	Tel.: (626) 793-8607		
5	Fax: (626) 793-7293		
	adrianos@facchettilaw.com		
6			
7	Enrico Schaefer (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)		
	TRAVERSE LEGAL, PLC		
8	810 Cottageview Drive, Unit G-20		
9	Traverse City, Michigan 49684		
	Tel.: (231) 932-0411		
10	Fax: (231) 932-0636		
11	C DI : : : CC		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COLIRT EOR	
14	THE CENTRAL DISTR		
	THE CENTRAL DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	DENSO CORPORATION, a Japanese		
16	corporation		
	Corporation		
17	Plaintiff,		
18	r iaiitiii,	Case No. 2:14-cy-01050 LB	
	V.	Hon Laurel Beeler	
19	V.	Hon Laurer Beeler	
20	Domain Name <denso.com>,</denso.com>		
21	Domain Name (denso.com/,		
21	Defendant.		
22	Defendant.		
23	DEFENDANT'S ANSW	ER TO PLAINTIFF'S	
	FIRST AMENDE		
24	AND AFFIRMAT		
25		1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 1	
	NOW COMES Defendant <denso.c< td=""><td>om> (hereinafter "Defendant") by and</td></denso.c<>	om> (hereinafter "Defendant") by and	
26	Tion contain admission	and the contract of the contra	
27	through its counsel, Enrico Schaefer and for	or its Answer to Plaintiff's First	
,	and I		
28			

Amended Complaint, states as follows:

- 1. Defendant admits the allegation in Paragraph 1.
- 2. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegation in Paragraph 2 for lack of knowledge and therefore leaves Plaintiff to its proofs and therefore denies the allegation.
- 3. Defendant admits the domain name <denso.com> registered as a top level domain with VeriSign registry, San Francisco, California, but nether admits nor denies the balance of the allegations in Paragraph 3 and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 4. Defendant acknowledges that Plaintiff brings the action *in rem* under the ACPA but neither admits nor denies the balance of the allegations in Paragraph 4 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 5. Defendant admits that the Defendant Domain was the subject of an UDRP proceeding but neither admits nor denies the balance of the allegations in Paragraph 5 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 6. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 6 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
 - 7. Defendants admits the allegations in Paragraph 7.
 - 8. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 8.
 - 9. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 9

for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

- 10. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
 - 11. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 11.
 - 12. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 12.
- 13. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 13 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 14. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 14 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 15. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 15 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 16. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 16 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 17. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 17 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 18. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 18 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 19. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 19 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
 - 20. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 20

for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

- 21. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 21 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 22. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 22 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 23. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 23 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 24. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 24 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 25. Defendant admits that there was a UDRP proceeding and determination issues on November 3, 2003 against a prior registrant of the domain and further avers that the decision in the UDRP speaks for itself.
- 26. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 26 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 27. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 27 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
 - 28. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 28 as untrue.
 - 29. Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 29.
- 30. Defendant admits that the current registrant has refused to transfer the domain name to Plaintiff and neither admits nor denies the balance of the

allegations in Paragraph 30 and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

- 31. Defendant incorporates each and every response to the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 32 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 33. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 33 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 34. Defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations in Paragraph 34 for lack of knowledge and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.
- 35. Defendant admits that the registrant of the domain is located in the United Kingdom but neither admits nor denies the balance of the allegations in Paragraph 35.
- 36. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 36 because they are untrue.
- 37. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 37 because they are untrue.
- 38. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 38 because they are untrue.
- 39. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 39 because they are untrue.

1	40. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 40 because they are		
2	untrue.		
3			
4	WHEREFORE Defendant respectfully requests that the court deny the		
5	relief request in Plaintiff's Complaint and enter Judgment in favor of the		
6	Defendant in this matter together with its costs and attorney fees.		
7			
8			
9	Respectfully submitted this 7th day of January, 2014.		
10			
11	/s/ Enrico Schaefer		
	Enrico Schaefer (Pro Hac Vice)		
12	TRAVERSE LEGAL, PLC		
13	810 Cottageview Drive, Unit G-20		
14	Traverse City, Michigan 49684		
14	Tel.: (231) 932-0411		
15	Fax: (231) 932-0636 enrico@traverselegal.com		
16	eniico@traverseregar.com		
17	Adrianos Facchetti (SBN. 243213)		
1 /	Of Counsel for TRAVERSE LEGAL, PLO		
18	301 East Colorado Boulevard, Suite 514		
19	Pasadena, CA 91101		
20	Tel.: (626) 793-8607		
20	Fax: (626) 793-7293		
21	adrianos@facchettilaw.com		
22	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
23			
24	DEFENDANT'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
25	DEFENDANT S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
26	1. Plaintiff's ACPA claim is barred by a Doctrine of Laches or Statute		
27	of Limitations for failure to commence this action within a reasonable period of		
28			

time after such claim accrued.

- 2. Plaintiff's claims are barred under the Doctrine of Estoppel based upon the current registrant's investment of resources in developing the domains over a time period of four years when Plaintiff did nothing to contest the registrant's ownership of the <denso.com> domain.
- 3. Plaintiff will not be able to establish valid trademark rights nor bad faith necessary to prevail on its ACPA claim.
- 4. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which can be granted under the ACPA against the Defendant Domain name's current registrant.
- 5. Defendant has waived its claim to the subject domain name in allowing the domain registration to lapse due to its own neglect and become available to other registrants.
- 6. The current registrant of the domain name, <densoft.com>, Densoft Consultancy Services, Ltd, is a bona fide purchaser of the Defendant domain therefore Plaintiff can have no valid rights to the subject domain.
- 7. Venue is or will become improper or inconvenient in this forum due to the current <denso.com> registrant's location in the United Kingdom.
- 8. Plaintiff has failed to properly effect service of process against the Defendant for failing to comply with the publication requirements under 15 U.S.C. 1125(d)(2)(A) of the ACPA.

1	Defendant reserves the right to add additional Affirmative Defenses that	
2	become known through discovery.	
3	become known unough discovery.	
4	Respectfully submitted this 7th day of January, 2014.	
5	/s/Enrico Schaefer	
6	Enrico Schaefer (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
7	TRAVERSE LEGAL, PLC 810 Cottageview Drive, Unit G-20	
8	Traverse City, Michigan 49684	
9	Tel.: (231) 932-0411 Fax: (231) 932-0636	
10	enrico@traverselegal.com	
11	Adrianos Facchetti (SBN. 243213)	
12	Of Counsel for TRAVERSE LEGAL, PLC	
13	301 East Colorado Boulevard, Suite 514	
14	Pasadena, CA 91101 Tel.: (626) 793-8607	
15	Fax: (626) 793-7293	
16	adrianos@facchettilaw.com	
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on the 7th day of January, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing Defendant's Answer to Amended Complaint and Affirmative Defenses with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System. /s/ Enrico Schaefer Enrico Schaefer (Pro Hac Vice) TRAVERSE LEGAL, PLC 810 Cottageview Drive, Unit G-20 Traverse City, Michigan 49684 Tel.: (231) 932-0411 Fax: (231) 932-0636 enrico@traverselegal.com Attorneys for Plaintiff